

Today's Rules Change How You Claim Deductions When Supporting Worthwhile Causes

Charitable Gifts and the New Tax Law

by Alexandra Armstrong, CFP, and Christopher Rivers, CFP



The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 introduced a number of sweeping changes, perhaps most notably the limitation or elimination of many itemized deductions. Under the new tax law, state and local tax deductions are limited to \$10,000 and the standard deduction is \$12,000 per person. As a result, many taxpayers will now fall under the new standard deduction, unless they can increase what deductions remain.

Charitable contributions were one of the few deductible items that were not limited by the new tax bill. Therefore, charitable contributions have gained importance as a tool to help reduce your tax bill.

Two-thirds of American households donate to charity annually, according to the Philanthropy Roundtable, a network of charitable donors based in Washington, D.C. The timing and structure of your gifts are more important than ever, however. With state and local tax deductions limited, it may take significant charitable contributions to get above the \$24,000 standard deduction for married couples.

There are two ways to maximize tax savings from your charitable contributions. One is making a qualified charitable distribution from your individual retirement account. The second is lumping several years' worth of donations into one tax year. We discuss each option below, but first we review the tax implications for the various ways you can give.

Giving Cash

The easiest way to give is to write checks to the charities of your choice. If you're still a taxpayer who is able to itemize deductions, the contribution amounts are subtracted from your taxable income, up to a maximum of 60 percent of your adjusted gross income. Thus, if you're in the 22 percent federal tax bracket*, for a donation of \$10,000, you save \$2,200 in federal taxes so that your actual out-of-pocket cost is \$7,800. In addition, if you live in a state with income tax, the out-of-pocket cost is less, since you save state income tax as well. Although the easiest, this method is in fact the least tax-efficient way to make a charitable gift.

**In 2018, the 22 percent tax bracket is adjusted gross income (AGI) of more than \$77,400 if married or \$38,700 if single.*

Giving Shares of Stock or Mutual Funds

From a tax-efficiency standpoint, gifting appreciated securities is far more advantageous. Again, we'll assume

you're in the 22 percent tax bracket. Suppose several years ago you invested \$2,000 in a stock and it's now worth \$10,000. If you sell it, you'd owe 15 percent federal capital gains tax (\$1,200) netting \$8,800 after tax. You could then give the \$8,800 to charity and receive a \$1,936 deduction for a net savings of \$736.

Instead, if you give the shares directly to charity, you'll receive a \$10,000 tax deduction, which will save you \$2,200 in federal income tax. In addition, you avoid the \$1,200 in capital gains tax because you gifted the shares directly to the charity rather than sold them. The charity gets \$10,000 instead of \$8,800 and you save \$1,464 more in taxes by giving shares rather than cash.

It's important to note the maximum deduction for giving appreciated securities is 30 percent of your AGI versus 60 percent for cash in any one year. If your gift of stock exceeds this limitation, the excess amount can be carried forward for up to five more years.

If you give appreciated shares, it's important to identify the shares with the lowest cost basis so that you're removing the maximum in capital gains from your portfolio. Broker/dealer firms don't do this by default, so we recommend you instruct them to do this in writing, as they may not even have a place on their forms for it.

Also, the shares you give must be held for more than one year or you get credit only for your cost basis of the security rather than for the appreciated amount.

Qualified Charitable Distributions

A qualified charitable distribution is created when you request that your required minimum distribution from your IRA account go directly to a charity. This allows you to meet your distribution requirement and not recognize this income as taxable, since it's a direct donation to charity. Be aware that there are some limits on how to implement a QCD.

The contribution must be made by an individual more than age 70-1/2, and individuals are limited to \$100,000 in qualified charitable distributions per year, although obviously you can give less.

You don't receive the QCD as income and itemize it as a deduction. Instead, the QCD goes straight to the charity and isn't included in your gross income. Thus, QCDs are more effective than simply writing a check because the QCD allows you to lower your adjusted gross income, rather than simply offsetting AGI with a matching deduction.

QCDs will likely become more popular going forward, as they enable you to reduce your income with a contri-



bution, even in the case where you don't itemize deductions. (For more, see the September 2016 article "Charity Distributions from IRAs.")

Charitable "Lumping"

Although QCDs will have greater impact under the new bill, in most circumstances a gift of appreciated shares still presents the largest potential tax savings. But with the standard deduction now \$12,000 per person, it may take a significant charitable gift in order to get above the standard deduction hurdle and realize any tax benefit from the gift.

To clear the standard deduction hurdle, taxpayers can try to "lump" deductions into one year and increase tax saving.

You can't pay multiple years' worth of state taxes, real estate taxes or mortgage interest in one year. But you can lump multiple years' worth of charitable gifts into one year to maximize your deductions.

Gifts often are made in the form of a multiyear pledge. In its simplest form, lumping those donations would mean accelerating the pledge and writing a check or gifting securities to donate the full amount in the current year.

For example, take the case of a married couple with state and local tax deductions of \$10,000 and mortgage interest of \$6,000. In addition, they've pledged a donation of \$25,000 to their alma mater, spread out over five years (\$5,000 per year).

Under the new tax bill, in 2018 their \$5,000 donation would bring their total itemized deductions to \$21,000. They would simply file using the new \$24,000 standard deduction and realize no tax benefit from the charitable donation.

Under a lumping strategy, they could accelerate their pledge, gifting \$12,500 this year and \$12,500 next year. Their itemized deductions would now be the \$16,000 in taxes and interest plus the \$12,500 deduction, for a total of \$28,500. They would then itemize on their return

and receive a tax benefit for their donation. Donating the full \$25,000 in the current year would further increase the tax benefit.

Donor-Advised Funds

But you may not be comfortable making your full pledge in the current year. And you may not have a single charity or pledge large enough to lump in this fashion to maximize the deduction. In many cases, our clients make smaller, regular donations to a handful of organizations each year.

Or what if you have an appreciated stock, are worried about it going down from current levels and want to give to charities, but you don't want to decide which charities to give to at this time?

You can give your stock to a donor-advised fund, which qualifies as an intermediary charity. You'll receive a full market value deduction in the year that you give the stock to the DAF. The DAF establishes a fund in your name.

Thereafter, the DAF makes gifts to charities from your fund. Legally, you can't control these distributions, since you gave the stock permanently and irrevocably to the charity. But you retain the right to make "suggestions" as to who receives the designated distributions.

The DAF is entitled to accept or reject those suggestions, but in practice it won't usually reject proper and reasonable suggestions. As always, investigate and compare before selecting a donor-advised fund. Generally, DAFs are established with gifts of \$5,000 and more. Charitable assets in DAFs jumped 9.7 percent in 2016, and it's expected they will see a significant increase in popularity due to the new tax law. We'll explore them in detail in our next column.

Conclusion

A 2008 study by Harvard Business School found that giving money to someone else lifted participants' happiness more than spending it on themselves. These good feelings are

reflected in our biology.

In a 2006 study, the National Institutes of Health found that when people give to charities, it activates regions of the brain associated with pleasure, social connection and trust, creating a "warm glow" effect. Scientists also believe that altruistic behavior releases endorphins in the brain, producing the positive feeling known as the "helper's high."

With the reduction or elimination of many tax deductions, there's now an increased tax benefit to giving to charity, to go along with the psychic and altruistic benefits of supporting those causes and organizations that you believe in. **B**

Alexandra Armstrong is a CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER and chairman and founder of Armstrong, Fleming & Moore, Inc., a registered investment advisory firm located at 1800 M St. NW, Suite 1010-S, Washington, D.C. 20036-5813, 202/887-8135.

Christopher Rivers, a CERTIFIED FINANCIAL PLANNER and co-author of this article is a principal of Armstrong, Fleming & Moore, Inc. Securities are offered through Commonwealth Financial Network, member FINRA/SIPC.

Investment advisory services are offered through Armstrong, Fleming & Moore Inc., an SEC-registered investment adviser not affiliated with Commonwealth Financial Network.

Consult your personal financial adviser before making any decisions. Ms. Armstrong can't answer individual inquiries, but welcomes suggestions for future article topics.

This material has been provided for general informational purposes only and doesn't constitute either tax or legal advice. Investors should consult a tax or legal professional regarding their individual situation.

Examples are hypothetical and for illustrative purposes only. No specific investments were used in the examples. Actual results will vary.

